

AENC-NG-CNS-REP-0208

Norwich to Tilbury

Volume 8: Examination Documents

Document: 8.1 Errata List

Final Issue B

May 2026

Planning Inspectorate Reference: EN020027

nationalgrid



Contents

1.	Applicant’s Errata Schedule	1
1.1	Introduction	1

Table 1.1	Errata List	2
-----------	-------------	---

Appendix A	Documents/Drawings Referenced in Errata as Missing or with Minor and Non-Material Corrections	
Appendix B	Updated list of Regional and Local Policy documents for Environmental Statement Chapter 13 – Landscape and Visual	

1. Applicant's Errata Schedule

1.1 Introduction

- 1.1.1 National Grid Electricity Transmission plc (here on referred to as 'the Applicant') has made an application for development consent to reinforce the high voltage power network in East Anglia between the existing substations at Norwich Main in Norfolk, Bramford in Suffolk, and Tilbury in Essex, as well as connect new offshore wind generation (the 'Project').
- 1.1.2 In the applicant's response to Deadline A **[PDA-001]** errata are defined as minor, non-material corrections such as typographical errors, incorrect references, omission of appendices identified in the index, or clarifications that do not alter the assessment outcomes, conclusions, or red-line boundaries along the route. This includes new or revised information from government agencies or regulators that now affects the NTT Application. It was also stated that the applicant would maintain a version controlled Errata Schedule identifying the affected document, section, nature of the correction, and the corrected text. It was stated that the initial errata would be submitted in February 2026. In the Rule 8 letter **[PD-011]** the ExA agreed this approach and requested that the applicant implements this in forthcoming submissions to the examination where and when relevant.
- 1.1.3 This Errata Schedule has been prepared and submitted for Deadline 4 to detail further errata and omissions within the documents identified during the Examination, providing corrections as necessary. These are set out in Table 1.1.
- 1.1.4 Any subsequent errata and omissions identified will be included in updates to this schedule and submitted at subsequent deadlines during Examination.
- 1.1.5 In Table 1.1 additional information / additional text is shown in red and deleted text is shown with a ~~strike~~ through.

Table 1.1 Errata List

Document Number	Document Name	Paragraph Section Reference	Nature of Erratum	Remedial Text, Reference or Narrative
APP-267	6.15.A2 Environmental Statement Appendix 15.2 - Review of Aviation Impact	Table A15.2.1	Explanation for abbreviations requested	Addition of Footnotes: IR04 - The 2023 preferred draft alignment, subject to non-statutory consultation in 2023 IR05 - The 2024 preferred draft alignment, subject to statutory consultation in 2024 DCO - The proposed Development Consent Order overhead line alignment
APP-267	6.15.A2 Environmental Statement Appendix 15.2 - Review of Aviation Impact	Table A15.2.4	Incorrect paragraph references reported	Within Assessment Summary column: Item 4 para. 4.2.1 para. 15.4.2 Item 6 para. 4.2.3 para. 15.4.4 Item 15 para. 4.2.7 para. 15.4.9 Item 16 para. 4.2.10 para. 15.4.12 Item 18 para. 4.2.17 para. 15.4.19 Item 19 para. 4.2.20 para. 15.4.22
APP-346	7.12 Visualisations - Part 4 of 9	VP 4.11 - Figure: 7.12.F109_V P4.11g	Correction to visualisation to show an existing wood pole line which was incorrectly removed on submitted visualisation.	Figure: 7.12.F109_VP4.11g has been updated and is replaced by Figure: 7.12.F109_VP4.11g (Rev B) in Appendix A of this Errata. <i>This correction does not alter the assessment of effects reported in 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 – Landscape and Visual [APP-226]. This errata was identified by the Applicants landscape team.</i>
APP-349	7.12 Visualisations - Part 7 of 9	VP 8.11 - Figure:	Correction to visualisation to show a span of	Figure: 7.12.F205_VP8.11c has been updated and is replaced by Figure: 7.12.F205_VP8.11c (Rev B) in Appendix A of this Errata.

Document Number	Document Name	Paragraph Section Reference	Nature of Erratum	Remedial Text, Reference or Narrative
		7.12.F205_V P8.11c	132 kV conductors and 132 kV pylon which was incorrectly removed on submitted visualisation.	<i>This correction does not alter the assessment of effects reported in 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 – Landscape and Visual [APP-226]. This errata was identified by the Applicants landscape team.</i>
APP-351	7.12 Visualisations - Part 9 of 9	HE37 - Figure: 7.12.F247_H E37c	Correction to visualisation to remove an existing 33 kV overhead line section on submitted visualisation proposed to be removed as mitigation.	Figure: 7.12.F247_HE37c has been updated and is replaced by Figure: 7.12.F247_HE37c (Rev B) in Appendix A of this Errata. <i>This correction does not alter the assessment of effects reported in 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 – Landscape and Visual [APP-226]. This errata was identified by the Applicants landscape team.</i>
APP-236	6.13.A6 Environmental Statement Appendix 13.6 - Arboricultural Impact Assessment	Various	Correction to the number of ancient woodlands within the Study Area.	Three ancient woodlands were incorrectly reported as falling within the Study Area (Bushey Wood, Friern Manor Wood, James’s Wood) and Ashen Wood / Rainbow Wood were recorded as separate ancient woodlands. Therefore the number of ancient woodlands within the Study Area in the submitted AIA should be 35, not 39. <i>This correction does not alter the conclusions reported in 6.13.A6 Environmental Statement Appendix 13.6 - Arboricultural Impact Assessment [APP-236]. This errata was identified by the Applicants arboriculture team.</i>

Document Number	Document Name	Paragraph Section Reference	Nature of Erratum	Remedial Text, Reference or Narrative
APP-322	7.4 Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan	Figure 7.4.1 - Arboricultural Impacts Plan	Update to align with Figure A13.6.1 - Arboricultural Impact Plan (Rev B) submitted in 8.1 Errata List [REP1-071] , adding clear labelling to features. Updates also include revised ancient woodland boundaries .	Figure 7.4.1 - Arboricultural Impacts Plan has been updated and is replaced by Figure 7.4.1 - Arboricultural Impacts Plan (Rev B) in Appendix A of this Errata. <i>This update does not alter any of the conclusions reported within the Environmental Statement. This update was identified by the Applicant.</i>
APP-284	6.8 Environmental Statement Chapter 8 – Ecology and Biodiversity	Table 8.23, Page 84 (row regarding Great/Little Edney Woods LWS (Section F))	Clarification of the quantity of habitat loss.	'Habitat Loss - some tree removal would be required to facilitate electrical clearances, but this would be minimal. 0.17 ha of broad-leaved woodland would be lost as a result of coppicing/pruning to facilitate the overhead line electrical clearance.' <i>This clarification does not alter the conclusions reported in 6.8 Environmental Statement Chapter 8 – Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-284]. This errata is included following a request from Examining Authority in BIO 1.21 [REP3-074] at Deadline 3.</i>
APP-284	6.8 Environmental Statement Chapter 8 – Ecology and Biodiversity	Table 8.23, Page 84 (row regarding Langley's Deer Park	Clarification of the quantity of habitat loss.	'Habitat Loss - some tree removal would be required to facilitate electrical clearances, but this would be minimal. <0.001 ha of trees to be coppiced/pruned to facilitate overhead line electrical clearance.' <i>This clarification does not alter the conclusions reported in 6.8 Environmental Statement Chapter 8 – Ecology and Biodiversity</i>

Document Number	Document Name	Paragraph Section Reference	Nature of Erratum	Remedial Text, Reference or Narrative
		LWS (Section F))		<i>[APP-284]. This errata is included following a request from Examining Authority in BIO 1.21 [REP3-074] at Deadline 3.</i>
APP-192	6.10 Environmental Statement Chapter 10 – Health and Wellbeing	Table 10.16, Page 66 (row regarding Enhancing control)	Incorrect paragraph reference reported.	<p><i>‘People, including vulnerable populations such as those described earlier, may feel less control over the physical environment within which they live or work. This may extend to concern about dependants or family members perceived as more vulnerable (e.g. children or older people) who will be living in close proximity to the Project alignment. Control could be enhanced through the dedicated programme of communication and scientific information from National Grid relating to EMFs as described in paragraph 40.7.146 10.7.145 (e.g. helpline, website).’</i></p> <p><i>This update does not alter the conclusions reported in 6.10 Environmental Statement Chapter 10 – Health and Wellbeing [APP-192]. This errata is included following a request from Examining Authority in HW 1.3 [REP3-074] at Deadline 3.</i></p>
APP-226	6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 – Landscape and Visual	13.2.20, Page 5	Update to the list of Regional and Local Policy documents to now include all Neighbourhood Plans.	<p>Updated list of Regional and Local Policy documents is provided in Annex B of this Errata.</p> <p><i>This update does not alter the conclusions reported in 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 – Landscape and Visual [APP-226]. This errata is included following a request from Examining Authority in LV 1.6 [REP3-074] at Deadline 3.</i></p>
APP-258	6.14.A2 Environmental Statement Appendix 14.2 - Construction Traffic Noise Assessment	Paragraph 14.4.7, Page 23	Change to wording to add more certainty.	<p><i>‘However, the effect of noise canwill be adequately mitigated to non-significant levels with the use of best practicable means (BPM), such as screening, at this location.’</i></p> <p><i>This change does not alter the conclusions reported in 6.14 Environmental Statement Chapter 14 – Noise and Vibration [APP-256]. This errata is included following a request from Examining Authority in NV 1.9 [REP3-074] at Deadline 3.</i></p>

Document Number	Document Name	Paragraph Section Reference	Nature of Erratum	Remedial Text, Reference or Narrative
APP-260	6.14.A4 Environmental Statement Appendix 14.4 - Tilbury North Substation Operational Noise Assessment	Image A14.4.1, Page 4	Correction to labelling of assessment location.	Image A14.4.1 - Operational Noise Baseline Information has been updated and is replaced by Image A14.4.1 - Operational Noise Baseline Information (Rev B) in Appendix C of this Errata. <i>This correction does not alter the conclusions reported in 6.14 Environmental Statement Chapter 14 – Noise and Vibration [APP-256]. This errata is included following a request from Examining Authority in NV 1.14 [REP3-074] at Deadline 3.</i>
APP-265	6.15 Environmental Statement Chapter 15 - Socio-economics Recreation and Tourism	Table 15.26, Page 110 (row referring to Planning applications within Mid Suffolk (Section B))	Correction of planning applications not likely to overlap with the Project's construction programme reported	<i>'There are 16 planning applications have been identified within the Order Limits, twothree of which are not likely to overlap with the Project's construction programme.'</i> <i>'The 4413 planning applications with the potential to overlap with the Project's construction programme are as follows:...</i> <i>This correction does not alter the conclusions reported in 6.15 Environmental Statement Chapter 15 - Socio-economics Recreation and Tourism [APP-265]. This errata is included following a request from Examining Authority in SET 1.12 [REP3-074] at Deadline 3.</i>
APP-215	6.11.A7 Environmental Statement Appendix 11.7 - Assessment of Harm to Designated Heritage Assets	Table A11.7.2, Page 53 (row referring to Roydon Hall (1033215))	Correction of listed building grade.	<i>'The Grade II* Listed 'Roydon Hall' (1033215) is located north-east of Creeping St Peters and associated with 'Barn 30 Metres North West of Roydon Hall' (1284584) and 'Garden Wall Enclosing Garden to South Side of Roydon Hall' (1352074)...</i> <i>The correction of the listed building grade does not alter the conclusions reported in 6.11.A7 Environmental Statement Appendix 11.7 - Assessment of Harm to Designated Heritage Assets [APP-215] – the asset was assessed as a Grade II* listed building, however the * was omitted in the submission document in error. This errata is included following a request from Examining Authority in HE 1.20 [REP3-074] at Deadline 3.</i>

Document Number	Document Name	Paragraph Section Reference	Nature of Erratum	Remedial Text, Reference or Narrative
APP-215	6.11.A7 Environmental Statement Appendix 11.7 - Assessment of Harm to Designated Heritage Assets	Table A11.7.2, Page 54 (row referring to Barn 30 Metres North West of Roydon Hall (1284584))	Correction of listed building grade.	<p><i>'The Grade II* Listed 'Barn 30 Metres North West of Roydon Hall' (1284584) is located north-east of Creeping St Peters and associated with 'Roydon Hall' (1033215) and 'Garden Wall Enclosing Garden to South Side of Roydon Hall' (1352074)...'</i></p> <p><i>The correction of the listed building grade does not alter the conclusions reported in 6.11.A7 Environmental Statement Appendix 11.7 - Assessment of Harm to Designated Heritage Assets [APP-215] – the asset was assessed as a Grade II* listed building, however the * was omitted in the submission document in error. This errata is included following a request from Examining Authority in HE 1.20 [REP3-074] at Deadline 3.</i></p>
APP-215	6.11.A7 Environmental Statement Appendix 11.7 - Assessment of Harm to Designated Heritage Assets	Table A11.7.10, Page 235 (row referring to Roydon Hall (1033215))	Correction of listed building grade.	<p><i>'The Grade II* Listed 'Roydon Hall' (1033215) is located north-east of Creeping St Peters and associated with 'Barn 30 Metres North West of Roydon Hall' (1284584) and Garden Wall Enclosing Garden to South Side of Roydon Hall' (1352074)...'</i></p> <p><i>The correction of the listed building grade does not alter the conclusions reported in 6.11.A7 Environmental Statement Appendix 11.7 - Assessment of Harm to Designated Heritage Assets [APP-215] – the asset was assessed as a Grade II* listed building, however the * was omitted in the submission document in error. This errata is included following a request from Examining Authority in HE 1.20 [REP3-074] at Deadline 3.</i></p>
APP-215	6.11.A7 Environmental Statement Appendix 11.7 - Assessment of Harm to	Table A11.7.10, Page 236 (row referring to Barn 30 Metres North West of	Correction of listed building grade.	<p><i>'The Grade II* Listed 'Barn 30 Metres North West of Roydon Hall' (1284584) is located north-east of Creeping St Peters and associated with 'Roydon Hall' (1033215) and 'Garden Wall Enclosing Garden to South Side of Roydon Hall' (1352074)...'</i></p> <p><i>The correction of the listed building grade does not alter the conclusions reported in 6.11.A7 Environmental Statement Appendix 11.7 - Assessment of Harm to Designated Heritage Assets [APP-215] – the</i></p>

Document Number	Document Name	Paragraph Section Reference	Nature of Erratum	Remedial Text, Reference or Narrative
	Designated Heritage Assets	Roydon Hall (1284584))		<i>asset was assessed as a Grade II* listed building, however the * was omitted in the submission document in error. This errata is included following a request from Examining Authority in HE 1.20 [REP3-074] at Deadline 3.</i>
AS-070	6.11.A2 Environmental Statement Appendix 11.2 - Historic Environment Assessment Tables	Table A11.2.3, Page 69 (row referring to Roydon Hall (1033215))	Correction of listed building grade.	<i>'Designation: Grade II* Listed Building Construction Impacts: The Grade II* listed 'Roydon Hall' (1033215) is located north-east of Creeting St Peters and associated with 'Barn 30 Metres North West of Roydon Hall' (1284584) and Garden Wall Enclosing Garden to South Side of Roydon Hall (1352074)...'</i> <i>The correction of the listed building grade does not alter the conclusions reported in 6.11 Environmental Statement Chapter 11 - Historic Environment [AS-068] – the asset was assessed as a Grade II* listed building, however the * was omitted in the submission document in error. This errata is included following a request from Examining Authority in HE 1.20 [REP3-074] at Deadline 3.</i>
AS-070	6.11.A2 Environmental Statement Appendix 11.2 - Historic Environment Assessment Tables	Table A11.2.3, Page 70 (row referring to Barn 30 Metres North West of Roydon Hall (1284584))	Correction of listed building grade.	<i>'Designation: Grade II* Listed Building Construction Impacts: The Grade II* listed 'Barn 30 Metres North West of Roydon Hall' (1284584) is located north-east of Creeting St Peters and associated with 'Roydon Hall' (1033215) and 'Garden Wall Enclosing Garden to South Side of Roydon Hall' (1352074)...'</i> <i>The correction of the listed building grade does not alter the conclusions reported in 6.11 Environmental Statement Chapter 11 - Historic Environment [AS-068] – the asset was assessed as a Grade II* listed building, however the * was omitted in the submission document in error. This errata is included following a request from Examining Authority in HE 1.20 [REP3-074] at Deadline 3.</i>

Document Number	Document Name	Paragraph Section Reference	Nature of Erratum	Remedial Text, Reference or Narrative
AS-070	6.11.A2 Environmental Statement Appendix 11.2 - Historic Environment Assessment Tables	Table A11.2.19, Page 1054 (row referring to Roydon Hall (1033215))	Correction of listed building grade.	<p><i>'The Grade II* listed 'Roydon Hall' (1033215) is located north-east of Creeting St Peters and associated with 'Barn 30 Metres North West of Roydon Hall' (1284584) and Garden Wall Enclosing Garden to South Side of Roydon Hall (1352074).'</i></p> <p><i>The correction of the listed building grade does not alter the conclusions reported in 6.11 Environmental Statement Chapter 11 - Historic Environment [AS-068] – the asset was assessed as a Grade II* listed building, however the * was omitted in the submission document in error. This errata is included following a request from Examining Authority in HE 1.20 [REP3-074] at Deadline 3.</i></p>
REP1-063	6.11.A1 Environmental Statement Appendix 11.1 - Historic Environment Baseline Report	Figure A11.1.1 - Designated Heritage Assets	Updated figure to remove conflict between labels and assets.	<p>Figure A11.1.1 - Designated Heritage Assets has been updated and is replaced by Figure A11.1.1 - Designated Heritage Assets (Rev D) submitted at Deadline 4.</p> <p><i>The updated figure does not alter the conclusions reported in 6.11 Environmental Statement Chapter 11 - Historic Environment [AS-068]. This update is included following a request from Examining Authority – particularly for Kings Farmhouse (1266530) and Bragg (1267411) in HE 1.5 [REP3-074] at Deadline 3.</i></p>
AS-068	6.11 Environmental Statement Chapter 11 – Historic Environment	Paragraph 11.7.16, Page 122	Correction of listed building grade and value.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The mediumhigh value Grade II* listed 'Creeting Hall' (1352073) <i>The correction of the listed building grade and value does not alter the conclusions reported in 6.11 Environmental Statement Chapter 11 - Historic Environment [AS-068] – the asset was assessed as a Grade II* listed building, however the * was omitted and an incorrect value was assigned in the submission document in error. This errata is included following a request from Examining Authority in HE 1.25 [REP3-074] at Deadline 3.</i>
AS-068	6.11 Environmental Statement Chapter	Paragraph 11.7.109, Page 142	Correction of listed building grade and value.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The mediumhigh value Grade II* listed 'Creeting Hall' (1352073) <i>The correction of the listed building grade and value does not alter the conclusions reported in 6.11 Environmental Statement Chapter 11 -</i>

Document Number	Document Name	Paragraph Section Reference	Nature of Erratum	Remedial Text, Reference or Narrative
	11 – Historic Environment			Historic Environment [AS-068] – the asset was assessed as a Grade II* listed building, however the * was omitted and an incorrect value was assigned in the submission document in error. This errata is included following a request from Examining Authority in HE 1.25 [REP3-074] at Deadline 3.
AS-070	6.11.A2 Environmental Statement Appendix 11.2 - Historic Environment Assessment Tables	Table A11.2.3, Page 72 (row referring to Creeting Hall (1352073))	Correction of listed building grade and value.	<p>Designation: Grade II* Listed Building</p> <p>Value: MediumHigh</p> <p>Construction Impact: The Grade II* listed 'Creeting Hall' (1352073) is located south of Creeting St Peter...</p> <p><i>The correction of the listed building grade and value does not alter the conclusions reported in 6.11 Environmental Statement Chapter 11 - Historic Environment [AS-068] – the asset was assessed as a Grade II* listed building, however the * was omitted and the incorrect value assigned in the submission document in error. This errata is included following a request from Examining Authority in HE 1.24 [REP3-074] at Deadline 3.</i></p>
AS-070	6.11.A2 Environmental Statement Appendix 11.2 - Historic Environment Assessment Tables	Table A11.2.19, Page 1056 (row referring to Creeting Hall (1352073))	Correction of listed building grade and value.	<p>Designation: Grade II* Listed Building</p> <p>Value: MediumHigh</p> <p>Construction Impact: The Grade II* listed 'Creeting Hall' (1352073) is located south of Creeting St Peter...</p> <p><i>The correction of the listed building grade and value does not alter the conclusions reported in 6.11 Environmental Statement Chapter 11 - Historic Environment [AS-068] – the asset was assessed as a Grade II* listed building, however the * was omitted and the incorrect value assigned in the submission document in error. This errata is included following a request from Examining Authority in HE 1.24 [REP3-074] at Deadline 3.</i></p>

Document Number	Document Name	Paragraph Section Reference	Nature of Erratum	Remedial Text, Reference or Narrative
APP-215	6.11.A7 Environmental Statement Appendix 11.7 - Assessment of Harm to Designated Heritage Assets	Table A11.7.2, Page 56 (row referring to Creeting Hall (1352073))	Correction of listed building grade and value.	<p>Designation: Grade II* Listed Building</p> <p>Value: MediumHigh</p> <p>Harm Assessment: The Grade II* listed 'Creeting Hall' (1352073) is located south of Creeting St Peter...</p> <p><i>The correction of the listed building grade and value does not alter the conclusions reported in 6.11.A7 Environmental Statement Appendix 11.7 - Assessment of Harm to Designated Heritage Assets [APP-215] – the asset was assessed as a Grade II* listed building, however the * was omitted and the incorrect value assigned in the submission document in error. This errata is included following a request from Examining Authority in HE 1.24 [REP3-074] at Deadline 3.</i></p>
APP-215	6.11.A7 Environmental Statement Appendix 11.7 - Assessment of Harm to Designated Heritage Assets	Table A11.7.10, Page 237 (row referring to Creeting Hall (1352073))	Correction of listed building grade and value.	<p>Designation: Grade II* Listed Building</p> <p>Value: MediumHigh</p> <p>Harm Assessment: The Grade II* listed 'Creeting Hall' (1352073) is located south of Creeting St Peter...</p> <p><i>The correction of the listed building grade and value does not alter the conclusions reported in 6.11.A7 Environmental Statement Appendix 11.7 - Assessment of Harm to Designated Heritage Assets [APP-215] – the asset was assessed as a Grade II* listed building, however the * was omitted and the incorrect value assigned in the submission document in error. This errata is included following a request from Examining Authority in HE 1.24 [REP3-074] at Deadline 3.</i></p>
APP-209	6.11.A1 Environmental Statement Appendix 11.1 - Historic	Paragraph 3.3.514, Page 339	Correction of listed building grade.	<p><i>'The setting of asset (2249) is informed by its location in the Gipping river valley and by its relationship with the Grade II* listed Creeting Hall (1352073) and associated farming complex (2448). The setting is further informed by the surrounding agricultural landscape with which the asset has a historical and possibly former functional relationship. The setting of</i></p>

Document Number	Document Name	Paragraph Section Reference	Nature of Erratum	Remedial Text, Reference or Narrative						
	Environment Baseline Report			<p><i>the asset makes a considerable contribution to its value and as the asset is located within the Project the setting extends to the Order Limits.'</i></p> <p><i>The correction of the listed building grade and value does not alter the conclusions reported in 6.11 Environmental Statement Chapter 11 - Historic Environment [AS-068] – the asset was assessed as a Grade II* listed building, however the * was omitted and an incorrect value was assigned in the submission document in error. This errata is included following a request from Examining Authority in HE 1.24 [REP3-074] at Deadline 3.</i></p>						
AS-068	6.11 Environmental Statement Chapter 11 - Historic Environment	Paragraph 11.7.146, Page 147	Correction of listed building grade and value.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The medium high value Grade II* listed 'Church of St Mary' (1264434) <p><i>The correction of the listed building grade and value does not alter the conclusions reported in 6.11 Environmental Statement Chapter 11 - Historic Environment [AS-068] – the asset was assessed as a Grade II* listed building, however the * was omitted and the incorrect value assigned in the submission document in error. This errata is included following a request from Examining Authority in HE 1.29 [REP3-074] at Deadline 3.</i></p>						
APP-265	6.15 Environmental Statement Chapter 15 - Socio- economics Recreation and Tourism	Table 15.23, Page 77	Clarification of the effects on Mellis Common.	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Receptor/Project Section</th> <th>Sensitivity</th> <th>Residual Construction Effect</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Mellis Common (Section B)</td> <td>Low</td> <td> <p>There is the potential for temporary acquisition of less than 1 ha of land from Mellis Common to enable access for pylon construction, overhead line construction, construction access and temporary third-party works (i.e. UKPN dismantle and underground cabling works).</p> <p>However, the the area of Mellis Common which falls within the Order Limits is a roadside verge and therefore not likely to have regular recreational function. No direct impact is anticipated on recreational use. Given that road verges are not considered to have functional recreational purposes, no direct impact is anticipated on recreational use.</p> <p>The residual construction effect would be temporary, short-term, neutral and not significant.</p> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p><i>The clarification does not alter the conclusions reported in 6.15 Environmental Statement Chapter 15 - Socio-economics Recreation</i></p>	Receptor/Project Section	Sensitivity	Residual Construction Effect	Mellis Common (Section B)	Low	<p>There is the potential for temporary acquisition of less than 1 ha of land from Mellis Common to enable access for pylon construction, overhead line construction, construction access and temporary third-party works (i.e. UKPN dismantle and underground cabling works).</p> <p>However, the the area of Mellis Common which falls within the Order Limits is a roadside verge and therefore not likely to have regular recreational function. No direct impact is anticipated on recreational use. Given that road verges are not considered to have functional recreational purposes, no direct impact is anticipated on recreational use.</p> <p>The residual construction effect would be temporary, short-term, neutral and not significant.</p>
Receptor/Project Section	Sensitivity	Residual Construction Effect								
Mellis Common (Section B)	Low	<p>There is the potential for temporary acquisition of less than 1 ha of land from Mellis Common to enable access for pylon construction, overhead line construction, construction access and temporary third-party works (i.e. UKPN dismantle and underground cabling works).</p> <p>However, the the area of Mellis Common which falls within the Order Limits is a roadside verge and therefore not likely to have regular recreational function. No direct impact is anticipated on recreational use. Given that road verges are not considered to have functional recreational purposes, no direct impact is anticipated on recreational use.</p> <p>The residual construction effect would be temporary, short-term, neutral and not significant.</p>								

Document Number	Document Name	Paragraph Section Reference	Nature of Erratum	Remedial Text, Reference or Narrative
				and Tourism [APP-265]. The clarification was committed to as part of the Applicants response to the Examining Authorities SET 1.9 [REP-074] at Deadline 3.
APP-265	6.15 Environmental Statement Chapter 15 - Socio-economics Recreation and Tourism	Table 15.25, Page 98	Clarification and additional detail related to construction effects.	<p>There is the potential for temporary access disruption of approximately 500 m out of the 150 km recreational route for the purposes of pylon construction, overhead line construction and to enable construction access.</p> <p>Access to the large majority of the recreational route would not be affected.</p> <p>Taking into account mitigation measures set out in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2) and Outline PRow Management Plan (document reference 7.6), the route would be temporarily closed for the duration of works to facilitate haul road access. The route would also be temporarily closed and diverted to facilitate the working area for overhead conductor stringing works for a maximum of two months. The diversion would result in an approximately 125 m increase in journey length out of the 150 km recreational route.</p> <p>A short section of Angles Way near Roydon will be subject to temporary disruption for the duration of the works to facilitate haul road access. During this time, the route will remain open on its existing alignment under a managed regime. The Outline Public Rights of Way Management Plan (document reference 7.6) describes how short period closures such as this will be managed throughout the works to allow ongoing use of the PRow by the public.</p> <p>It is also noted that the same section of Angles Way will be subject to a temporary closure (with diversion) for a period of two months to accommodate overhead conductor stringing.</p> <p>With appropriate management measures in place, there is anticipated to be a very low magnitude of impact.</p>

Document Number	Document Name	Paragraph Section Reference	Nature of Erratum	Remedial Text, Reference or Narrative
-----------------	---------------	-----------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------------

The residual construction effect would be **temporary, medium-term, negligible adverse and not significant**.

The clarification and additional detail does not alter the conclusions reported in 6.15 Environmental Statement Chapter 15 - Socio-economics Recreation and Tourism [APP-265]. This errata is included following a request from Examining Authority in PRoW 1.8 [REP3-074] at Deadline 3.

APP-215 6.11.A7 Environmental Statement Appendix 11.7 - Assessment of Harm to Designated Heritage Assets Table 11.7.3 Addition of assessment of Little Bromley War Memorial (1493299) during construction.

Asset	Designation	Value	Contribution of Setting to Value	Harm Assessment
Little Bromley War Memorial (1493299)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	Considerable	The Grade II listed Little Bromley War Memorial (1493299) is located on the southern edge of the settlement of Little Bromley. During the construction phase, works associated with the Project would be partially located within the setting of the medium value asset. The Project works would comprise the excavation of a permanent infiltration drainage feature in the field c. 60 m to the north-west of the asset. The Project would result in a small change to the setting of the asset, but due to overall distance and the partial screening, there would be a low impact on the value of the asset. It is concluded, therefore, that the asset would experience Lower Less Than Substantial Harm .

The asset was assessed in 6.11 Environmental Statement Chapter 11 - Historic Environment [AS-068] however, it was omitted from Appendix 6.11.A7 in error. This errata is included following a request from Examining Authority in HE 1.21 [REP3-074] at Deadline 3.

APP-215 6.11.A7 Environmental Statement Appendix 11.7 - Assessment of Harm to Designated Heritage Assets Table A11.7.11 Addition of assessment of Little Bromley War Memorial (1493299) during operation (and maintenance).

Asset	Designation	Value	Contribution of Setting to Value	Harm Assessment
Little Bromley War Memorial (1493299)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	Considerable	The Grade II listed Little Bromley War Memorial (1493299) is located on the southern edge of the settlement of Little Bromley. During the operation (and maintenance) phase, the Project (a permanent infiltration drainage feature) would be partially located within the setting of the asset. The Project would result in a small change to the setting of the asset, but as the infiltration drainage feature would cause no vertical intrusion in the setting of the asset, there would be a slight impact on the value of the asset. It is concluded, therefore, that the asset would experience Lower Less Than Substantial Harm .

The asset was assessed in 6.11 Environmental Statement Chapter 11 - Historic Environment [AS-068] however, it was omitted from Appendix

Document Number	Document Name	Paragraph Section Reference	Nature of Erratum	Remedial Text, Reference or Narrative										
				6.11.A7 in error. This errata is included following a request from Examining Authority in HE 1.21 [REP3-074] at Deadline 3.										
APP-215	6.11.A7 Environmental Statement Appendix 11.7 - Assessment of Harm to Designated Heritage Assets	Table A11.7.8	Addition of assessment of Bulphan World War II bombing decoy, 850m and 890 m south west of Doesgate Farm (1020998) during construction following.	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Asset</th> <th>Designation</th> <th>Value</th> <th>Contribution of Setting to Value</th> <th>Harm Assessment</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Bulphan World War II bombing decoy, 850m and 890m south west of Doesgate Farm (1020998)</td> <td>Scheduled Monument</td> <td>High</td> <td>Considerable</td> <td>The scheduled monument 'Bulphan world War II bombing decoy, 850m and 890 m south west of Doesgate Farm,' (1020998) is located east of Bulphan. The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB235-TB239 pulling locations associated with pylons TB36 and TB37, bellmouth works, six SUDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, OLV crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road. The monument comprises two nigh shelters which were part of a dummy aerodrome built to draw attention away from bombing raids at RAF Hornchurch located 11 km to the west. Following decommissioning, all other features of the decoy site were removed. The setting of this asset is informed by its location within a rural and open landscape with gently rising topography to the east. This setting has seen limited change through the small-scale expansion of the villages and town and more tellingly, the imposition of Langdon Hills Golf Country Club and Hotel to the east-south-east. The open landscape would mean that the construction works would be visible from the asset. The Project would result in a small change to the setting of the asset, resulting in a low impact on the value of the asset. It is concluded, therefore, that the asset would experience Lower Less Than Substantial Harm.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>The asset was assessed in 6.11 Environmental Statement Chapter 11 - Historic Environment [AS-068] however, it was omitted from Appendix 6.11.A7 in error. This errata is included following a request from Examining Authority in HE 1.22 [REP3-074] at Deadline 3.</p>	Asset	Designation	Value	Contribution of Setting to Value	Harm Assessment	Bulphan World War II bombing decoy, 850m and 890m south west of Doesgate Farm (1020998)	Scheduled Monument	High	Considerable	The scheduled monument 'Bulphan world War II bombing decoy, 850m and 890 m south west of Doesgate Farm,' (1020998) is located east of Bulphan. The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB235-TB239 pulling locations associated with pylons TB36 and TB37, bellmouth works, six SUDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, OLV crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road. The monument comprises two nigh shelters which were part of a dummy aerodrome built to draw attention away from bombing raids at RAF Hornchurch located 11 km to the west. Following decommissioning, all other features of the decoy site were removed. The setting of this asset is informed by its location within a rural and open landscape with gently rising topography to the east. This setting has seen limited change through the small-scale expansion of the villages and town and more tellingly, the imposition of Langdon Hills Golf Country Club and Hotel to the east-south-east. The open landscape would mean that the construction works would be visible from the asset. The Project would result in a small change to the setting of the asset, resulting in a low impact on the value of the asset. It is concluded, therefore, that the asset would experience Lower Less Than Substantial Harm.
Asset	Designation	Value	Contribution of Setting to Value	Harm Assessment										
Bulphan World War II bombing decoy, 850m and 890m south west of Doesgate Farm (1020998)	Scheduled Monument	High	Considerable	The scheduled monument 'Bulphan world War II bombing decoy, 850m and 890 m south west of Doesgate Farm,' (1020998) is located east of Bulphan. The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB235-TB239 pulling locations associated with pylons TB36 and TB37, bellmouth works, six SUDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, OLV crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road. The monument comprises two nigh shelters which were part of a dummy aerodrome built to draw attention away from bombing raids at RAF Hornchurch located 11 km to the west. Following decommissioning, all other features of the decoy site were removed. The setting of this asset is informed by its location within a rural and open landscape with gently rising topography to the east. This setting has seen limited change through the small-scale expansion of the villages and town and more tellingly, the imposition of Langdon Hills Golf Country Club and Hotel to the east-south-east. The open landscape would mean that the construction works would be visible from the asset. The Project would result in a small change to the setting of the asset, resulting in a low impact on the value of the asset. It is concluded, therefore, that the asset would experience Lower Less Than Substantial Harm.										
AS-068	6.11 Environmental Statement Chapter 11 - Historic Environment	Paragraph 11.7.54, Page 131	Correction of listed building grade and value.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The medium high value Grade II* listed 'Newneys Farmhouse' (1123450) <p>The correction of the listed building grade and value does not alter the conclusions reported in 6.11 Environmental Statement Chapter 11 - Historic Environment [AS-068] – the asset was assessed as a Grade II* listed building, however the * was omitted and the incorrect value assigned in the submission document in error. This errata is included following a request from Examining Authority in HE 1.27 [REP3-074] at Deadline 3.</p>										

Document Number	Document Name	Paragraph Section Reference	Nature of Erratum	Remedial Text, Reference or Narrative
APP-265	6.15 Environmental Statement Chapter 15 - Socio-economics Recreation and Tourism	Table 15.24, page 84	Public Rights of Way removed from list on page 84 as they were reported in wrong row of Table 15.24.	<p>W-426/003/0, W-389/002/0, W-172/027/0, W-246/011/0, W-563/001/0, W-392/046/0, W-209/006/0, W-209/014/0, W-117/023/0, W-129/016/0, W-129/018/0, W-418/031/0, W-418/058/0, W-472/027/0, W-172/022/0 and W-117/013/0 (within Mid Suffolk) (Section B)</p> <p><i>The removal of the two PRowS does not alter the conclusions reported in 6.15 Environmental Statement Chapter 15 - Socio-economics Recreation and Tourism [APP-265]. This errata is included following a request from Examining Authority in PRow 1.4 [REP3-074] at Deadline 3.</i></p>
AS-072	6.11.A4 Environmental Statement Appendix 11.4 -	Page 2 and 3	Correction to the spelling of Tas Valley.	The topography of Section A has been shaped by the Tass and Waveney Valleys, which have formed as natural drainage channels for the higher, plateaued land around them. This has created a gently undulating landscape of higher ground marked by dry and wet valleys. From its

Document Number	Document Name	Paragraph Section Reference	Nature of Erratum	Remedial Text, Reference or Narrative
	Geophysical Survey (Priority Areas) Results Report - Clean Version - Part 1			<p>northern limit at the National Grid Norwich Main Substation at roughly 35m Above Ordnance Datum (AOD), the Project crosses a gently undulating landscape climbing to a high point of 44m AOD 1km west-northwest of Flordon. From this point the Project crosses a tributary of the Tass at 25m AOD; the Project then climbs again and passes through an undulating landscape with a high point of 55m AOD south-east of Tacolneston. The Project then crosses the Tass at 26m AOD before following higher ground to south east of Shelfanger at 48m AOD where it crosses a tributary of the Waveney Valley at 33m AOD. The Project again climbs to 52m AOD north-east of Bressingham before descending to the Waveney Valley and the County border at 25m AOD.</p> <p>The solid geology of Section A consists entirely of chalk of the Lewes Nodular, Seaford, Newhaven, Culver, and Portsdown Chalk Formations. For the most part, the superficial deposits comprise chalky till of the Lowestoft Formation with sporadic patches of sand and gravel of the Sheringham Cliffs, Happisburgh Glacigenic and Leet Hill Formations, which largely define the Tass Valley and its tributaries. The southern reaches of the Tass Valley system also include sand and gravel of the Lowestoft Formation, whilst the dry valley tributaries contain superficial head deposits of clay and peat formations. The base of the Tass Valley system contains alluvial clay, silt, sand, and gravel. The Waveney Valley superficial deposits comprise peat, head clay, river terrace sand and gravel, Croxton Member sand and gravel, Ingham Formation sand and gravel and alluvial clay, silt, sand, and gravel (NERC 2025).</p> <p><i>This inclusion does not alter the conclusions reported in 6.11.A4 Environmental Statement Appendix 11.4 - Geophysical Survey (Priority Areas) Results Report - Clean Version - Part 1 [AS-072]. This errata is included following a request from Pylons East Anglia that was received via email on the 9 February 2026.</i></p>

Document Number	Document Name	Paragraph Section Reference	Nature of Erratum	Remedial Text, Reference or Narrative
APP-215	6.11.A7 Environmental Statement Appendix 11.7 - Assessment of Harm to Designated Heritage Assets	Table A11.7.14, Page 306	Correction to impacts on operation (and maintenance) reported.	<p>The Grade II Listed 'Balls Farmhouse' (1305428) is located south of Great Waltham and west of Little Waltham. The operation phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB141-144, associated construction work areas, pulling locations for TB143 and TB144, overhead line mitigation works, bellmouth works, overhead line crossing protection works, four SuDS basins and the temporary haul road. The setting of the asset is immediately informed by its open and rural position within its own enclosed farmyard with associated outbuildings to the north of Larks Lane. The property is set back from the road by a gravel driveway and garden and is surrounded by rural fields with views of farmland in all directions. These works are, at their closest, 30 m to the south west of the asset and surround the asset, making it visible from every aspect of the asset. The Project would result in a moderate change to the setting of the asset resulting in a medium impact on its value. During the operation phase, the Project would be partially within the setting of this medium value asset. Despite some high trees located north and west of the assets as well as buildings to the north, the pylons TB143 and the top of the pylon TB142 would be visible from the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of this asset. It is concluded, therefore, that the asset would experience Mid Less Than Substantial Harm.</p> <p><i>The asset was assessed in 6.11 Environmental Statement Chapter 11 – Historic Environment [AS-068] however, the assessment wasn't correct in Appendix 6.11.A7 in error. This update was identified by the Applicant.</i></p>
APP-122	5.15 Design Development Report	Paragraph 4.4.5, Page 42	Correction of pylon references	<p>Tied in with the alignment change are amendments to the temporary and permanent access arrangements. The permanent access route to the adjusted pylon positions (used for infrequent maintenance activity) is proposed to continue southwards along Brick Kiln Lane before turning to the east along an existing track along the north edge of the woodland. This landowner requested change reduces the potential for effects on</p>

Document Number	Document Name	Paragraph Section Reference	Nature of Erratum	Remedial Text, Reference or Narrative
				<p>agricultural cropping without other changes in likely effects and is therefore being taken forward. Other amendments to temporary haul road arrangements have also been considered and taken forward. To the east of TBRG48 to TBRG49, the proposal is to use a previously cleared area within the woodland for the haul road to avoid routeing within the open field used for livestock over-wintering. In addition, other specific measures would be adopted to reduce woodland loss including the narrowing of the access road through the woodland and the use of trackway. The remainder of the haul road northwards to RG46 and southwards to RG52 have also been adjusted to predominantly remain to the east of the alignment with all but a short 50 m section remaining within the 2024 draft Order Limits.</p>
AS-070	6.11.A2 Environmental Statement Appendix 11.2 - Historic Environment Assessment Tables	Table A11.2.23, Page 1190 (row referring to Southwoods (1237174))	Correction of magnitude of impact and significance of effects.	<p>Operational (and Maintenance) Impact: The Grade II listed 'Southwoods' (1237174) is located south of Writtle. The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB174-178. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be low medium adverse.</p> <p>Significance of Effect: The value of Southwoods is medium, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be low medium adverse. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent minor moderate adverse significance of effect (not significant).</p> <p><i>The correction was committed to as part of the Applicants response to the Examining Authorities HE 1.28 [REP-074] at Deadline 3. An update to 6.11 Environmental Statement Chapter 11 – Historic Environment [AS-068] is provided two rows below.</i></p>
APP-215	6.11.A7 Environmental Statement Appendix 11.7 -	Table A11.7.14, Page 323	Correction of magnitude of impact and	<p>The Grade II Listed 'Southwoods' (1237174) is located south of Writtle. During the operation phase, the Project would be partially within the setting of the medium value asset. However, due to screening by mature vegetation the Project would only be occasionally visible. The Project</p>

Document Number	Document Name	Paragraph Section Reference	Nature of Erratum	Remedial Text, Reference or Narrative
	Assessment of Harm to Designated Heritage Assets		significance of harm.	<p>would result in a small change to the setting of the asset, but due to the partial screening, there would be a low impact on the value of the asset. It is concluded, therefore, that the asset would experience Lower Less Than Substantial Harm. The setting of the asset is shared and is informed by its location within a former farmyard, by their interrelationships and by their relationships with the designated and non-designated properties to the south and elements of the former farming complex, most of which are recorded on 19th and 20th century OS mapping. The setting is further informed by the surrounding agricultural landscape with which the asset and its former outbuildings have a historical and functional relationship. Although the farm is no longer working the assets are still coherent as a former working complex. The Project would result in a moderate change to the setting of the asset resulting in a medium impact on its value. It is concluded, therefore, that the asset would experience Mid Less Than Substantial Harm.</p> <p><i>The correction was committed to as part of the Applicants response to the Examining Authorities HE 1.28 [REP-074] at Deadline 3. An update to 6.11 Environmental Statement Chapter 11 – Historic Environment [AS-068] is provided below.</i></p>
AS-068	6.11 Environmental Statement Chapter 11 - Historic Environment	Paragraph 11.7.139, Page 146	Inclusion of asset within bullet point list.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The medium value Grade II listed 'Southwoods' (1237174) <i>This inclusion was committed to as part of the Applicants response to the Examining Authorities HE 1.28 [REP3-074]. The inclusion does not materially affect the overall conclusions of 6.11 Environmental Statement Chapter 11 – Historic Environment [AS-068].</i>
REP1-066	6.13.F7 Environmental Statement Figure 13.7 - Visual Receptors and Viewpoints	N/A	Inclusion of some additional long distance paths which were omitted from Rev B of the Figure.	<p>Figure 13.7 - Visual Receptors and Viewpoints (Rev B) has been updated and is replaced by Figure 13.7 - Visual Receptors and Viewpoints (Rev C) in Appendix A of this Errata.</p> <p><i>The updated figure does not change the conclusions reported in 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 – Landscape and Visual [APP-</i></p>

Document Number	Document Name	Paragraph Section Reference	Nature of Erratum	Remedial Text, Reference or Narrative
				<i>226]. This errata is included following a request from Examining Authority in LV 1.7 [REP3-074] at Deadline 3.</i>
APP-281	6.17 Environmental Statement Chapter 17 - Cumulative Effects	Table 17.4, Page 26	Correction to the wording describing the 'Maximum ZOI for Each Aspect' in relation to Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism.	LPA boundaries that the Project intersects within 3 km <i>This correction does not alter the conclusions reported within 6.17 Environmental Statement Chapter 17 - Cumulative Effects [APP-281]. This errata was identified by the Applicants socio-economics team.</i>
APP-228	6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 - Landscape and Visual	Paragraph 13.7.12, Page 43	Correction of the assessment.	Sections B and C – Suffolk Landscape Character Assessment (2010) The following LCTs were predicted to experience significant effects during operation (and maintenance): Wooded Valley Meadowlands Fens LCT – moderate-major and significant (adverse) within 0.5 km <i>This correction is to correct the incorrect assessment summary in paragraph 13.7.12 of 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 - Landscape and Visual [APP-226] to align with the correct assessment conclusions reported in 6.13.A2 Environmental Statement Appendix 13.2 - Landscape Baseline and Assessment [APP-228]. This correction does not alter any of the conclusions reported within 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 – Landscape and Visual [APP-226]. This update was identified by the Applicant.</i>
APP-236	6.13.A6 Environmental Statement Appendix 13.6 -	Paragraph 13.1.16, Page 3	Incorrect DCO Requirement reported	Removals are shown on Figure A13.6.1: Arboricultural Impacts Plan in Annex D. Detailed design changes could alter the AIA results (likely to reduce) and overall impacts to trees, however, any changes would be

Document Number	Document Name	Paragraph Section Reference	Nature of Erratum	Remedial Text, Reference or Narrative
	Arboricultural Impact Assessment AIA			picked up through the discharge of Requirement 78 (Retention of trees, woodland and hedgerows) in the draft DCO (document reference 3.1). <i>This correction does not alter the conclusions reported in 6.13.A6 Environmental Statement Appendix 13.6 - Arboricultural Impact Assessment [APP236]. This errata was identified by the Applicants arboriculture team.</i>
AS-036	6.8.A10 Environmental Statement Appendix 8.10 - Bat Activity Report	Paragraph 1.3.13, Page 12	Correction to description of methods.	The static detectors were deployed in accordance with Bat Conservation Trust (BCT) Survey Guidelines (Collins, J. (ed.) (2023)). The static detectors were set to begin recording 30 minutes prior to sunset and until 30 minutes after sunrise on an automatic trigger with standardised threshold values. at 'Crest Advantage', allowing for high sensitivity detection of bat calls. Settings can be viewed in Annex B: Bat Static Detector Settings. <i>This correction does not alter the conclusions reported in 6.8.A10 Environmental Statement Appendix 8.10 - Bat Activity Report [AS-036]. The correction was committed to as part of the Applicant's Comments on any Further Information or Submissions Received by Deadline 2 REP2-058 [REP3-070] at Deadline 3.</i>
APP-135	6.5 Environmental Statement Chapter 5 – EIA Approach and Methods	Paragraph 5.2.10, Page 3	Correction to wording on assessment scenario to align with approach followed throughout the ES.	All assessment work has applied a precautionary principle and assessed a likely reasonable worst-case scenario. Further details can be found in Chapters 6-17 (document references 6.6 – 6.17) of the ES (Volume 6 of the DCO application). <i>This correction does not alter the conclusions reported within 6.5 Environmental Statement Chapter 5 – EIA Approach and Methods [APP-135]. This errata was identified by the Applicant.</i>
APP-229	6.13.A3 Environmental Statement	Paragraph 13.2.36, Page11	Addition of receptors for	Potential visual receptors include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Residents, including views from isolated properties, scattered communities, or defined settlements

Document Number	Document Name	Paragraph Section Reference	Nature of Erratum	Remedial Text, Reference or Narrative
	Appendix 13.3 - Visual Baseline and Assessment - Part 1 of 4		recreational activities.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Road users (including those travelling on recognised tourist routes) Those engaged in recreational activities (e.g., users of PRow, Common Land/Open Access Land and long distance footpaths or cycle routes) People at their place of work, including agricultural workers. <p><i>This addition does not alter any of the conclusions reported within 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 – Landscape and Visual [APP-226]. This update was identified by the Applicant.</i></p>
APP-226	6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 - Landscape and Visual	Paragraph 13.1.1, first bullet, Page 1	Addition of receptors for recreational activities listed to ensure complete list reported.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effects on visual amenity, including effects upon potential receptors (people) and viewing groups caused by changes in the appearance of the landscape, as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effects on visual receptors at settlements / communities / groups of properties Effects on receptors travelling on roads Effects on recreational receptors, including users of Public Rights of Way (PRow), Common Land / Open Access Land and long-distance routes and visitor attractions Effects on visual receptors at representative viewpoints <p><i>This addition does not alter any of the conclusions reported within 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 – Landscape and Visual [APP-226]. This update was identified by the Applicant.</i></p>
APP-232	6.13.A3 Environmental Statement Appendix 13.3 - Visual Baseline and Assessment - Part 4 of 4	Viewpoint 5.11: Cressing Temple Barns, south-west of Silver End, Effects	Addition of descriptive text omitted from previous version.	<p>This viewpoint represents middle to longer distance open views to the south-east, south and south-west across open fields with intervening trees and boundary vegetation providing screening to the east and west, from the road and PRow network and the community around the B1018 Witham Road, and recreational visitors around Cressing Temple Barns. There would be views towards construction activity associated with the proposed overhead line and any third-party works in longer distance views</p>

Document Number	Document Name	Paragraph Section Reference	Nature of Erratum	Remedial Text, Reference or Narrative
		during Construction heading, Page 15		<p>towards the horizon. Construction activity would include the movement of vehicles and machinery along temporary haul roads and the B1018, a construction laydown area on the B1018, and the presence of taller elements, such as partially constructed pylons and construction machinery such as cranes. Crossing protection scaffolding over Crossing Road and the railway line to the south would be visible. However, views would be filtered by intervening trees on the skyline, and lower portions of the construction activity would also be screened by intervening layers of vegetation. Construction activity may include lighting in the hours of darkness. Potential loss of vegetation as a result of the Project, including as a result of haul roads, would likely be perceptible in longer distance views but filtered by intervening layers of vegetation. In terms of the geographical extent of effects, similar views would be experienced from the road and PRow network and community receptors and recreational visitors along, and to the west of, the B1008 Crossing Road, to the north of the Project. Construction work would affect a medium proportion of this view on the skyline to the south-east and south-east, and the scale of change would be medium. The magnitude of effect is judged to be medium.</p> <p>Taking account of the high sensitivity, the significance of effect is judged to be moderate and significant (adverse).</p> <p><i>This addition does not alter any of the conclusions reported within 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 – Landscape and Visual [APP-226]. This update was identified by the Applicant.</i></p>
APP-229	6.13.A3 Environmental Statement Appendix 13.3 - Visual Baseline	Table A.1, Page 417	Correction to 'Distance from Project (nearest structure)' column for 6.19	<p>3.2 0.68</p> <p><i>This correction does not alter any of the conclusions reported within 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 – Landscape and Visual [APP-226]. This update was identified by the Applicant.</i></p>

Document Number	Document Name	Paragraph Section Reference	Nature of Erratum	Remedial Text, Reference or Narrative
	and Assessment - Part 1 of 4		Victoria Road, west of Writtle.	
APP-232	6.13.A3 Environmental Statement Appendix 13.3 - Visual Baseline and Assessment - Part 4 of 4	Notes on Viewpoint Location heading, Page 104	Correction to 'Distance to Nearest Structure'.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Distance to Nearest Structure: 3.240.68km <i>This correction does not alter any of the conclusions reported within 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 – Landscape and Visual [APP-226]. This update was identified by the Applicant.</i>
APP-229	6.13.A3 Environmental Statement Appendix 13.3 - Visual Baseline and Assessment - Part 1 of 4	Viewpoints heading, Page 76	Inclusion of viewpoint omitted from submitted report for reference.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> VP 2.35 Mid Suffolk Footpath north of Tan Office (Figure 7.12.F54) (document reference 7.12) Viewpoint 2.40 A1120, Forward Green (Figure 7.12.F58) (document reference 7.12) Viewpoint 2.44 Fen Lane, near Woolney Hall, north of Creting St Mary (Figure 7.12.F62) (document reference 7.12). <p><i>This inclusion does not alter any of the conclusions reported 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 – Landscape and Visual [APP-226]. This update was identified by the Applicant.</i></p>
APP-229	6.13.A3 Environmental Statement Appendix 13.3 - Visual Baseline and Assessment - Part 1 of 4	Viewpoints heading, Page 303	Inclusion of viewpoint omitted from submitted report for reference.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Viewpoint 8.08 Footpath off Butts Lane, Stanford-le-Hope (Figure 7.12.F202) (document reference 7.12). Viewpoint 8.12 Hoford Road, east of Chadwell St Mary (Figure 7.12.F206) (document reference 7.12) <p><i>This inclusion does not alter any of the conclusions reported within 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 – Landscape and Visual [APP-226]. This update was identified by the Applicant.</i></p>

Document Number	Document Name	Paragraph Section Reference	Nature of Erratum	Remedial Text, Reference or Narrative
APP-229	6.13.A3 Environmental Statement Appendix 13.3 - Visual Baseline and Assessment - Part 1 of 4	Paragraph 13.7.7, Page 158	Correction of place name.	<p>The Project would be visible in close views (within 0.5 km) from the south of the VRA, including towards the proposed overhead line and CSE compound at Great Horkesley (EACN side). The overhead line is likely to be seen on the skyline with some filtering from intervening woodland and field boundary vegetation. The CSE compound would be noticeable in views from its immediate surroundings, including from part of the Essex Way. The Project would be introduced into views otherwise absent of overhead lines. Vegetation removed during construction would be reinstated, although at Operation Year 1 the planting would be young and the vegetation loss would remain noticeable, including along the proposed underground cable alignment. Within 0.5 km the scale of change would be large in the east of the VRA, reducing to small to the west of Great Horkesley Tye Green. The magnitude of effect would be high, reducing to low. The effect would be major and significant (adverse) in the east of the VRA, reducing to minor and not significant (adverse) west of Great Horkesley Tye Green.</p> <p><i>This correction does not alter any of the conclusions reported within 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 – Landscape and Visual [APP-226]. This update was identified by the Applicant.</i></p>
APP-229	6.13.A3 Environmental Statement Appendix 13.3 - Visual Baseline and Assessment - Part 1 of 4	Paragraph 13.7.11, Page 159	Correction of place name.	<p>In the longer term, proposed planting within the Environmental Area around the Great Horkesley (EACN side) CSE compound would reach semi-maturity. This would reduce effects on residents, users of PRoW and users of the Essex Way within a localised area (within 0.5 km) by screening and filtering views. Growth rates would vary depending upon local conditions, and the level of filtering would vary seasonally. It is anticipated there would be a medium scale of change within 0.5 km of the CSE compound. The magnitude of effect would be medium and the effect would be moderate and significant (adverse). Elsewhere, effects of the Project would remain as in Operation Year 1: major and significant (adverse) within 0.5 km in the east of the VRA, reducing to minor and not</p>

Document Number	Document Name	Paragraph Section Reference	Nature of Erratum	Remedial Text, Reference or Narrative
				<p>significant (adverse) west of Great Horkesley Tye Green; minor and not significant (adverse) between 0.5 km and 1.5 km, reducing to negligible and not significant from Workhouse Hill; and negligible and not significant beyond 1.5 km.</p> <p><i>This correction does not alter any of the conclusions reported within 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 – Landscape and Visual [APP-226]. This update was identified by the Applicant.</i></p>
APP-229	6.13.A3 Environmental Statement Appendix 13.3 - Visual Baseline and Assessment - Part 1 of 4	Table A13.3.39, Page 160	Correction of all instances of place name reported within the 'within 0.5km' column.	<p>...to the west of Great Horkesley Tye Green...</p> <p><i>This correction does not alter any of the conclusions reported within 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 – Landscape and Visual [APP-226]. This update was identified by the Applicant.</i></p>
APP-232	6.13.A3 Environmental Statement Appendix 13.3 - Visual Baseline and Assessment - Part 4 of 4	Viewpoint 6.19, 'Sensitivity of Receptor' section, Page 104	Correction to sensitivity wording following to account for Writtle Neighbourhood Plan.	<p>This viewpoint is located along Victoria Road, near Great Oxney Green, to the west of Writtle. Community receptors at Writtle are of high susceptibility. Road users are of low susceptibility (although noting that cyclists or people walking on pavements will be more susceptible). This viewpoint is not within a designated landscape or promoted view representative of views from Viewpoint 10, identified within the Writtle Neighbourhood Plan, therefore the view is of regional/local / community value. Overall sensitivity is judged to be medium.</p> <p><i>The correction does not alter the conclusions reported in 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 – Landscape and Visual [APP-226]. The correction was committed to as part of the Applicants response to the Examining Authorities LV 1.6 [REP-074] at Deadline 3.</i></p>

Document Number	Document Name	Paragraph Section Reference	Nature of Erratum	Remedial Text, Reference or Narrative																																
APP-228	6.13.A2 Environmental Statement Appendix 13.2 - Landscape Baseline and Assessment	Table A13.2.16, Page 56	Correction to assessment reported within Table A13.2.16.	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Phase</th> <th>Effects</th> <th>Within 0.5 km</th> <th>Between 0.5-1.5 km</th> <th>Beyond 1.5 km</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td rowspan="2">Construction</td> <td>Magnitude</td> <td>High</td> <td>Medium (within 1 km)</td> <td>Low</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Significance</td> <td>Moderate-major and significant (adverse)</td> <td>Moderate and significant (within 1 km) (adverse)</td> <td>Minor and not significant (adverse)</td> </tr> <tr> <td rowspan="2">Operation Year 1</td> <td>Magnitude</td> <td>Medium</td> <td>Medium (within 1 km)</td> <td>Low</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Significance</td> <td>Moderate-major and significant (adverse)</td> <td>Moderate and significant (within 1 km) (adverse)</td> <td>Minor and not significant (adverse)</td> </tr> <tr> <td rowspan="2">Operation Year 15</td> <td>Magnitude</td> <td>Medium</td> <td>Medium (within 1 km)</td> <td>Low</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Significance</td> <td>Moderate-major and significant (adverse)</td> <td>Moderate and significant (within 1 km) (adverse)</td> <td>Minor and not significant (adverse)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p><i>The corrections in Table A13.2.16 in APP-228 are needed to align with assessment conclusions drawn in paragraphs 13.3.195 and 13.3.197 of 6.13.A2 Environmental Statement Appendix 13.2 - Landscape Baseline and Assessment [APP-228]. These corrections does not alter any of the conclusions reported within 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 – Landscape and Visual [APP-226]. This update was identified by the Applicant.</i></p>	Phase	Effects	Within 0.5 km	Between 0.5-1.5 km	Beyond 1.5 km	Construction	Magnitude	High	Medium (within 1 km)	Low	Significance	Moderate-major and significant (adverse)	Moderate and significant (within 1 km) (adverse)	Minor and not significant (adverse)	Operation Year 1	Magnitude	Medium	Medium (within 1 km)	Low	Significance	Moderate-major and significant (adverse)	Moderate and significant (within 1 km) (adverse)	Minor and not significant (adverse)	Operation Year 15	Magnitude	Medium	Medium (within 1 km)	Low	Significance	Moderate-major and significant (adverse)	Moderate and significant (within 1 km) (adverse)	Minor and not significant (adverse)
Phase	Effects	Within 0.5 km	Between 0.5-1.5 km	Beyond 1.5 km																																
Construction	Magnitude	High	Medium (within 1 km)	Low																																
	Significance	Moderate-major and significant (adverse)	Moderate and significant (within 1 km) (adverse)	Minor and not significant (adverse)																																
Operation Year 1	Magnitude	Medium	Medium (within 1 km)	Low																																
	Significance	Moderate-major and significant (adverse)	Moderate and significant (within 1 km) (adverse)	Minor and not significant (adverse)																																
Operation Year 15	Magnitude	Medium	Medium (within 1 km)	Low																																
	Significance	Moderate-major and significant (adverse)	Moderate and significant (within 1 km) (adverse)	Minor and not significant (adverse)																																
APP-228	6.13.A2 Environmental Statement Appendix 13.2 - Landscape Baseline and Assessment	Table A13.2.61, Page 179	Correction to effects reported 'Beyond 1.5 km' as the LCA does not extend beyond 1.5 km from the Project.	<p>LCA 13: Dunton Settled Claylands</p> <p>Beyond 1.5 km:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Construction <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Magnitude – High N/A – Significance – Moderate-major and significant (adverse) N/A • Operation Year 1 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Magnitude – Medium N/A – Significance - Moderate and significant (adverse) N/A • Operation Year 15 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Magnitude - Medium N/A – Significance - Moderate and significant (adverse) N/A 																																

Document Number	Document Name	Paragraph Section Reference	Nature of Erratum	Remedial Text, Reference or Narrative
				<p><i>The corrections in Table A13.2.61 of 6.13.A2 Environmental Statement Appendix 13.2 - Landscape Baseline and Assessment [APP-228] are needed to align with assessment conclusions drawn in paragraphs 13.3.856, 13.3.862 and 13.1.2 (page 179) in 6.13.A2 Environmental Statement Appendix 13.2 - Landscape Baseline and Assessment [APP-228]. These corrections do not alter any of the conclusions reported within 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 – Landscape and Visual [APP-226]. This update was identified by the Applicant.</i></p>
APP-228	6.13.A2 Environmental Statement Appendix 13.2 - Landscape Baseline and Assessment	Table A13.2.78, Page 254	Correction to effects reported 'Beyond 1.5 km' as the LCA does not extend beyond 1.5 km from the Project.	<p>LCA 13: Dunton Settled Claylands Beyond 1.5 km:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Construction <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Magnitude – High N/A – Significance – Moderate-major and significant N/A • Operation Year 1 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Magnitude – Medium N/A – Significance - Moderate and significant N/A • Operation Year 15 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Magnitude - Medium N/A – Significance - Moderate and significant N/A <p><i>The corrections in Table A13.2.78 in 6.13.A2 Environmental Statement Appendix 13.2 - Landscape Baseline and Assessment [APP-228] are needed to align with assessment conclusions drawn in paragraphs 13.3.856, 13.3.862 and 13.1.2 in 6.13.A2 Environmental Statement Appendix 13.2 - Landscape Baseline and Assessment [APP-228]. These corrections do not alter any of the conclusions reported within 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 – Landscape and Visual [APP-226]. This update was identified by the Applicant.</i></p>

Document Number	Document Name	Paragraph Section Reference	Nature of Erratum	Remedial Text, Reference or Narrative
APP-228	6.13.A2 Environmental Statement Appendix 13.2 - Landscape Baseline and Assessment	Table A13.2.78 Pages 243, 250 and 251	Incorrect significance of effects outcome reported within Table A13.2.78 for various receptors to align with magnitude and significance of effects reported within relevant receptor tables in Section 13.3. Effects within Section 13.3 of the Appendix are correct.	<p>LCT Rolling Valley Farmlands:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Year 1 Operation: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Within 0.5 km – Moderate-major and significant (adverse) Year 15 Operation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Within 0.5 km – Moderate-major and significant (adverse) <p>LCA B4: Gosfield Wooded Farmlands (Glacial Till Plateau Landscapes LCT):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Construction <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Beyond 1.5 km – Minor-moderate and not significant <p>LCA C5: Chelmer Valley (River Valley Landscapes LCT)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Construction <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Between 0.5 – 1.5 km – Moderate-Major and significant <p><i>The corrections in Table A13.2.78 are needed to align with assessment conclusions drawn in Tables A13.2.24, A13.2.49 and A13.2.52 of 6.13.A2 Environmental Statement Appendix 13.2 - Landscape Baseline and Assessment [APP-228]. These corrections do not alter any of the conclusions reported within 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 – Landscape and Visual [APP-226]. This update was identified by the Applicant.</i></p>
APP-228	6.13.A2 Environmental Statement Appendix 13.2 - Landscape Baseline and Assessment	Table A13.2.31, Page 98	Removal of text incorrectly reported.	<p>Landscape assessment summary for LCT 6: Clay Valleys, LCA 6B: Ardleigh Valley System (sensitivity: medium):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Construction <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Between 0.5 – 1.5 km - Minor and not significant (adverse) (Moderate and significant (adverse) from localised areas along reservoir) <p><i>The removal of the text incorrectly reported in Table A13.2.31 is needed to align with assessment conclusions drawn in paragraph 13.3.399 in 6.13.A2 Environmental Statement Appendix 13.2 - Landscape</i></p>

Document Number	Document Name	Paragraph Section Reference	Nature of Erratum	Remedial Text, Reference or Narrative
				Baseline and Assessment [APP-228]. The removal of text does not alter any of the conclusions reported within the 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 – Landscape and Visual [APP-226]. This update was identified by the Applicant.
APP-228	6.13.A2 Environmental Statement Appendix 13.2 - Landscape Baseline and Assessment	Table A13.2.72, Page 208	Correction to assessment reported within Table A13.2.72.	<p>Landscape assessment summary for LCT I: Towns on Open Undulating Farmland, LCA I1: Chadwell St Mary (sensitivity: medium)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Construction <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Between 0.5 – 1.5 km - Minor-moderate and not significant (adverse), reducing to negligible and not significant beyond 1 km <p>The corrections to the assessment in Table A13.2.72 is needed to align with assessment conclusions drawn in paragraph 13.3.999 in 6.13.A2 Environmental Statement Appendix 13.2 - Landscape Baseline and Assessment [APP-228]. These corrections do not alter any of the conclusions reported within 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 – Landscape and Visual [APP-226]. This update was identified by the Applicant.</p>
APP-228	6.13.A2 Environmental Statement Appendix 13.2 - Landscape Baseline and Assessment	Paragraphs 13.3.914 – 13.3.918, Page 192	Correction to assessment for LCA F2: Tilbury Marshes (Section H) to correct reported assessment.	<p>Operation Year 1 (Without Mitigation)</p> <p>13.3.914 The ZTV shown on Figure 13.8: ZTV of Proposed 400 kV Overhead Line (Numbers of Pylons) (document reference 6.13.F8) indicates theoretical visibility of the proposed overhead line in the east of the LCA between Tilbury Fort, Low Street and Coalhouse Fort.</p> <p>13.3.915 The Project would be seen in views from the northern fringes of the LCA, which lie beyond 21.8 km from the lateral overhead line LoD to the south CSE compound at Tilbury. The proposed overhead line would be seen beyond and in the context of existing overhead lines, and would not form notable features in the landscape. The closest existing overhead lines (YYJ and ZB) would be modified, moving further west to accommodate the Project, but would not appear closer in views. The flat landscape would afford longer distance views towards the Project,</p>

Document Number	Document Name	Paragraph Section Reference	Nature of Erratum	Remedial Text, Reference or Narrative
				<p>although the presence of existing infrastructure would reduce prominence of the new pylons.</p> <p>13.3.916 The Project would result in a small change experienced across a small geographical extent, concentrated in the north of the LCA beyond 1.5 km of the lateral overhead line LoD. The duration would be long-term and the effect would be irreversible.</p> <p>13.3.917 The magnitude of effect would be low across the northern fringes of the LCA beyond 1.5 km of the lateral overhead line LoD, and barely perceptible elsewhere barely perceptible across the LCA. Taking account of the medium sensitivity, the effect is judged to be minor and not significant (adverse) in the northern fringes of the LCA beyond 1.5 km of the lateral overhead line LoD, and negligible and not significant elsewhere.</p> <p>Operation Year 15 (With Mitigation)</p> <p>13.3.918 No additional landscape mitigation is proposed within the LCA. There would be no change to the magnitude of effect identified at year 1 of operation (low beyond 1.5 km at the northern fringes of the LCA, and barely perceptible elsewhere). The effect on the LCA would remain minor and not significant (adverse) beyond 1.5 km of the lateral overhead line LoD, and negligible and not significant elsewhere.</p> <p><i>The corrections to the assessment are needed as they were incorrectly recorded at submission. The corrections do not alter the conclusions reported within 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 – Landscape and Visual [APP-226] – i.e. a not-significant effect is still anticipated on Tilbury Marshes. This update was identified by the Applicant.</i></p>

Document Number	Document Name	Paragraph Section Reference	Nature of Erratum	Remedial Text, Reference or Narrative																																
APP-228	6.13.A2 Environmental Statement Appendix 13.2 - Landscape Baseline and Assessment	Table A13.2.65, Page 192	Correction to assessment for LCA F2: Tilbury Marshes (Section H)	<p>Table A13.2.65 Landscape assessment summary for LCT F: Undeveloped Marsh, LCA F2: Tilbury Marshes (sensitivity: medium)</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Phase</th> <th>Effects</th> <th>Within 0.5 km</th> <th>Between 0.5-1.5 km</th> <th>Beyond 1.5 km</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td rowspan="2">Construction</td> <td>Magnitude</td> <td>N/A, as LCA is not within 0.5 km</td> <td>Medium</td> <td>Barely perceptible</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Significance</td> <td>N/A, as LCA is not within 0.5 km</td> <td>Minor-moderate and not significant (adverse)</td> <td>Negligible and not significant</td> </tr> <tr> <td rowspan="2">Operation Year 1</td> <td>Magnitude</td> <td>N/A, as LCA is not within 0.5 km</td> <td>N/A, as LCA is not within 0.5-1.5 km of lateral overhead line LoD</td> <td>Low Barely perceptible</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Significance</td> <td>N/A, as LCA is not within 0.5 km</td> <td>N/A, as LCA is not within 0.5-1.5 km of lateral overhead line LoD</td> <td>Minor Negligible and not significant (adverse)</td> </tr> <tr> <td rowspan="2">Operation Year 15</td> <td>Magnitude</td> <td>N/A, as LCA is not within 0.5 km</td> <td>N/A, as LCA is not within 0.5-1.5 km of lateral overhead line LoD</td> <td>Low Barely perceptible</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Significance</td> <td>N/A, as LCA is not within 0.5 km</td> <td>N/A, as LCA is not within 0.5-1.5 km of lateral overhead line LoD</td> <td>Minor Negligible and not significant (adverse)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p><i>The corrections to the assessment are needed as they were incorrectly recorded at submission. The corrections do not alter the conclusions reported within 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 – Landscape and Visual [APP-226] – i.e. a not-significant effect is still anticipated on Tilbury Marshes. This update was identified by the Applicant.</i></p>	Phase	Effects	Within 0.5 km	Between 0.5-1.5 km	Beyond 1.5 km	Construction	Magnitude	N/A, as LCA is not within 0.5 km	Medium	Barely perceptible	Significance	N/A, as LCA is not within 0.5 km	Minor-moderate and not significant (adverse)	Negligible and not significant	Operation Year 1	Magnitude	N/A, as LCA is not within 0.5 km	N/A, as LCA is not within 0.5-1.5 km of lateral overhead line LoD	Low Barely perceptible	Significance	N/A, as LCA is not within 0.5 km	N/A, as LCA is not within 0.5-1.5 km of lateral overhead line LoD	Minor Negligible and not significant (adverse)	Operation Year 15	Magnitude	N/A, as LCA is not within 0.5 km	N/A, as LCA is not within 0.5-1.5 km of lateral overhead line LoD	Low Barely perceptible	Significance	N/A, as LCA is not within 0.5 km	N/A, as LCA is not within 0.5-1.5 km of lateral overhead line LoD	Minor Negligible and not significant (adverse)
Phase	Effects	Within 0.5 km	Between 0.5-1.5 km	Beyond 1.5 km																																
Construction	Magnitude	N/A, as LCA is not within 0.5 km	Medium	Barely perceptible																																
	Significance	N/A, as LCA is not within 0.5 km	Minor-moderate and not significant (adverse)	Negligible and not significant																																
Operation Year 1	Magnitude	N/A, as LCA is not within 0.5 km	N/A, as LCA is not within 0.5-1.5 km of lateral overhead line LoD	Low Barely perceptible																																
	Significance	N/A, as LCA is not within 0.5 km	N/A, as LCA is not within 0.5-1.5 km of lateral overhead line LoD	Minor Negligible and not significant (adverse)																																
Operation Year 15	Magnitude	N/A, as LCA is not within 0.5 km	N/A, as LCA is not within 0.5-1.5 km of lateral overhead line LoD	Low Barely perceptible																																
	Significance	N/A, as LCA is not within 0.5 km	N/A, as LCA is not within 0.5-1.5 km of lateral overhead line LoD	Minor Negligible and not significant (adverse)																																
APP-228	6.13.A2 Environmental Statement	Table A13.2.78, Page 255	Correction to assessment for LCA F2: Tilbury	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Year 1 Operation, Beyond 1.5 km <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Magnitude – Low at northern fringe of LCA / Barely perceptible elsewhere 																																

Document Number	Document Name	Paragraph Section Reference	Nature of Erratum	Remedial Text, Reference or Narrative
	Appendix 13.2 - Landscape Baseline and Assessment		Marshes (Section H)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Year 15 Operation, Beyond 1.5 km <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Magnitude – Low at northern fringe of LCA / Barely perceptible elsewhere <p><i>The corrections to the assessment are needed as they were incorrectly recorded at submission. The corrections do not alter the conclusions reported within 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 – Landscape and Visual [APP-226] – i.e. a not-significant effect is still anticipated on Tilbury Marshes. This update was identified by the Applicant.</i></p>
APP-229	6.13.A3 Environmental Statement Appendix 13.3 - Visual Baseline and Assessment - Part 1 of 4	Table A13.3.32, Page 129	Correction to assessment reported within Table A13.3.32.	<p>Visual assessment summary for VRA C7 Holton St Mary and East Bergholt (Sensitivity: low to high)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Construction <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Magnitude – Within 0.5 km – High, including from the National Landscape Significance - Within 0.5 km - Major and significant (adverse), including from the National Landscape Magnitude – Between 0.5 - 1.5 km – Low, increasing to medium north of Holton St Mary Significance - Between 0.5 – 1.5 km - Minor and not significant (adverse), increasing to moderate and significant (adverse) from the localised area north of Holton St Mary Magnitude – Beyond 1.5 km – Barely perceptible, increasing to low from the localised area at the A12/B1070 junction, reducing to none within the National Landscape Significance - Beyond 1.5 km - Negligible and not significant, increasing to minor and not significant (adverse) from the localised area at the junction of the A12 and B1070. No effect (not significant) within the National Landscape increasing to minor and not significant (adverse) at the A12/B1070 junction

Document Number	Document Name	Paragraph Section Reference	Nature of Erratum	Remedial Text, Reference or Narrative
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Operation Year 1 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Magnitude – Within 0.5 km – Low, increasing to medium from the north west corner of the VRA – Significance - Within 0.5 km - Minor and not significant (adverse), increasing to moderate and significant (adverse), from within the National Landscape and from the north-west corner of the VRA at Wenham Grove CSE compound – Magnitude – Between 0.5 - 1.5 km – Barely perceptible, increasing to low in the north-west corner of the VRA – Significance - Between 0.5 – 1.5 km - Minor Negligible and not significant (adverse), increasing to minor and not significant (adverse) in the north-west of the VRA – Magnitude – Beyond 1.5 km – Barely perceptible, reducing to no effect (not significant) from the National Landscape and tributary valley north of Lattinford Hill – Significance - Beyond 1.5 km - Negligible and not significant, reducing to no effect (not significant) from the National Landscape and tributary valley north of Lattinford Hill ● Operation Year 15 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Magnitude – Within 0.5 km – Barely perceptible, increasing to Low from the north-west corner of the VRA – Significance - Within 0.5 km - Minor and not significant (adverse) – Magnitude – Between 0.5 - 1.5 km - Barely perceptible, increasing to low in the north-west corner of the VRA – Significance - Between 0.5 – 1.5 km - Negligible and not significant, increasing to minor and not significant (adverse) in the north-west corner of the VRA – Magnitude – Beyond 1.5 km - Barely perceptible, increasing to Low from the north-west corner of the VRA reducing to no effect

Document Number	Document Name	Paragraph Section Reference	Nature of Erratum	Remedial Text, Reference or Narrative
				<p>(not significant) from the National Landscape and tributary valley north of Lattinford Hill</p> <p>Significance - Beyond 1.5 km - Minor and not significant (adverse) Negligible and not significant reducing to no effect (not significant) from the tributary valley north of Lattinford Hill and from the National Landscape</p> <p><i>The corrections in Table A13.3.32 are needed to align with assessment conclusions drawn in paragraphs 13.6.75, 13.6.79 and 13.6.81 in 6.13.A3 Environmental Statement Appendix 13.3 - Visual Baseline and Assessment - Part 1 of 4 [APP-229]. These corrections do not alter any of the conclusions reported within 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 – Landscape and Visual [APP-226]. This update was identified by the Applicant.</i></p>
APP-229	6.13.A3 Environmental Statement Appendix 13.3 - Visual Baseline and Assessment - Part 1 of 4	Table A13.3.81, various	Incorrect significance of effects outcome reported within Table A13.3.81 for various receptors to align with magnitude and significance of effects reported within relevant receptor tables in Sections 13.6 to 13.7. Effects within Sections 13.6 to 13.7 of the	<p>VRA C7: Holton St Mary and East Bergholt</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Year 1 Operation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Within 0.5 km – Major Moderate and significant – Between 0.5 – 1.5 km - Moderate Minor and not significant – Beyond 1.5 km - Minor Negligible and not significant • Year 15 Operation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Within 0.5 km – Major Minor and not significant – Between 0.5 – 1.5 km – Moderate Negligible and not significant – Beyond 1.5 km - Minor Negligible and not significant <p>VRA C8: Higham</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Year 15 Operation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Within 0.5 km - Minor Negligible and not significant <p>VRA C10: Dedham Heath</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Year 1 Operation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Between 0.5 – 1.5 km – Moderate Major and significant

Document Number	Document Name	Paragraph Section Reference	Nature of Erratum	Remedial Text, Reference or Narrative
			Appendix are correct.	<p>VRA D2: Little Horkesley and Wormingford</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Construction <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Within 0.5 km - Moderate Minor and not significant – Between 0.5 – 1.5 km - Moderate Minor and not significant – Beyond 1.5 km - Moderate Minor and not significant • Year 15 Operation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Within 0.5 km - Major Moderate and significant <p><i>The corrections in Table A13.3.81 are needed to align with assessment conclusions drawn in relevant assessments in Sections 13.6 and 13.7 in APP-229. These corrections do not alter any of the conclusions reported 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 – Landscape and Visual [APP-226]. This update was identified by the Applicant.</i></p>
APP-229	6.13.A3 Environmental Statement Appendix 13.3 - Visual Baseline and Assessment - Part 1 of 4	Table A13.3.40, Page 164	Correction to significance reported within Table A13.3.40.	<p>VRA D2 Little Horkesley and Wormingford</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Construction <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Beyond 1.5 km - Negligible and not significant, increasing to minor and not significant (adverse) from the B1508 and Little Horkesley Road at Wormingford, on the edge of the National Landscape • Operation Year 1 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Beyond 1.5 km - Negligible and not significant to no effect (not significant), increasing to moderate minor and not significant (adverse) from the B1508 and Little Horkesley Road at Wormingford, on the edge of the National Landscape • Operation Year 15 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Beyond 1.5 km - Negligible Minor and not significant to no effect (not significant), increasing to moderate and significant (adverse) from the B1508 and Little Horkesley Road at Wormingford, on the edge of the National Landscape <p><i>The corrections in Table A13.3.40 are needed to align with assessment conclusions drawn in paragraphs 13.7.17 to 13.7.23 in APP-229. These</i></p>

Document Number	Document Name	Paragraph Section Reference	Nature of Erratum	Remedial Text, Reference or Narrative
				<i>corrections do not alter any of the conclusions reported within the 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 – Landscape and Visual [APP-226]. This update was identified by the Applicant.</i>
APP-229	6.13.A3 Environmental Statement Appendix 13.3 - Visual Baseline and Assessment - Part 1 of 4	Table A13.3.76, Page 298	Correction to significance reported within Table A13.3.76.	<p>H5 Grays and Chadwell St Mary</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Operation Year 15 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Within 0.5 km - Minor and not significant (adverse) in views towards the CSE compounds; elsewhere moderate and significant (adverse) – Between 0.5 – 1.5 km - Minor Moderate and not significant (adverse) from the eastern edge of Chadwell St Mary, reducing to negligible and not significant within the settlements from further within Chadwell St Mary and Grays <p><i>The corrections in Table A13.3.76 are needed to align with assessment conclusions drawn in paragraph 13.11.62 in APP-229. These corrections do not alter any of the conclusions reported within 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 – Landscape and Visual [APP-226]. This update was identified by the Applicant.</i></p>
APP-229	6.13.A3 Environmental Statement Appendix 13.3 - Visual Baseline and Assessment - Part 1 of 4	Table A13.3.51, Page 203	Correction to magnitude and significance reported within Table A13.3.51.	<p>E3 Kelvedon</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Construction <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Magnitude - Between 0.5 – 1.5 km - N/A, as VRA is not within 0.5 – 1.5 km Barely perceptible – Significance - Between 0.5 – 1.5 km - N/A, as VRA is not within 0.5 – 1.5 km Negligible and not significant • Operation Year 1 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Magnitude - Between 0.5 – 1.5 km - N/A, as VRA is not within 0.5 – 1.5 km Barely perceptible – Significance - Between 0.5 – 1.5 km - N/A, as VRA is not within 0.5 – 1.5 km Negligible and not significant

Document Number	Document Name	Paragraph Section Reference	Nature of Erratum	Remedial Text, Reference or Narrative
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Operation Year 15 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Magnitude - Between 0.5 – 1.5 km - N/A, as VRA is not within 0.5 – 1.5 km Barely perceptible – Significance - Between 0.5 – 1.5 km - N/A, as VRA is not within 0.5 – 1.5 km Negligible and not significant <p><i>The corrections in Table A13.3.51 are needed to align with assessment conclusions drawn in paragraphs 13.8.25 to 13.8.33 in APP-229. These corrections do not alter any of the conclusions reported within 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 – Landscape and Visual [APP-226]. This update was identified by the Applicant.</i></p>
APP-226	6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 - Landscape and Visual	Paragraph 13.7.4, Page 41	Correction of table reference at the request of the ExA in LV 1.3 [REP3-074]	<p>Significant adverse landscape effects during construction are predicted for all of the LCAs and LCTs which would be directly affected by construction activity within the Order Limits of the Project. These significant effects are related to the introduction of construction activity and equipment, including the temporary loss of some landscape features including farmland and field boundary vegetation. Significant effects are also anticipated for some LCAs and LCTs outside of the Order Limits, up to a distance of approximately 1.5 km. These significant effects are related to the perception of construction activity and the effect this has on identified key characteristics of the landscape. A full summary of effects on LCAs and LCTs during construction is provided in Table A13.2.738 of Appendix 13.2: Landscape Baseline and Assessment (document reference 6.13.A2).</p> <p><i>This correction does not alter the conclusions reported in 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 – Landscape and Visual [APP-226]. This errata is included following a request from Examining Authority in LV 1.3 [REP3-074] at Deadline 3.</i></p>
APP-226	6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter	Paragraph 13.7.5, Page 41	Correction of table reference.	<p>Significant adverse effects during construction are predicted for visual receptors within all of the VRAs that would be directly affected by construction activity within the Order Limits. VRAs are shown on Figure</p>

Document Number	Document Name	Paragraph Section Reference	Nature of Erratum	Remedial Text, Reference or Narrative
	13 - Landscape and Visual			<p>13.7: Visual Receptors and Viewpoints (document reference 6.13.F7). These significant effects are related to the introduction of construction activity into close to medium distance views of residents, recreational receptors and road users. Significant effects are expected to extend up to approximately 1.5 km in some instances, for example where there are open, elevated and/or wide views towards construction activity. In some VRAs significant effects would be more contained, for example where views of construction activity are filtered and screened by vegetation or topography. A full summary of effects on visual receptors in VRAs and at viewpoints during construction is provided in Table A13.3.80¹ of Appendix 13.3: Visual Baseline and Assessment (document reference 6.13.A3).</p> <p><i>This correction does not alter the conclusions reported in 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 – Landscape and Visual [APP-226]. This errata is included following a request from Examining Authority in LV 1.3 [REP3-074] at Deadline 3.</i></p>
APP-242	6.13.F6 Environmental Statement Figure 13.6 - Landscape Character Types and Landscape Character Areas	N/A	Updated figure to ensure clear labelling of sub areas of LCA A7: Stour Valley Sloped are visible.	<p>Figure 13.6 - Landscape Character Types and Landscape Character Areas has been updated and is replaced by Figure 13.6 - Landscape Character Types and Landscape Character Areas (Rev B) in Appendix A of this Errata.</p> <p><i>This update does not alter the conclusions reported in 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 – Landscape and Visual [APP-226]. This errata is included following a request from Colchester City Council in 12.72 [REP2-030] at Deadline 2.</i></p>
APP-230	6.13.A3 Environmental Statement Appendix 13.3 - Visual Baseline and Assessment - Part 2 of 4	Viewpoint 2.53, 'Sensitivity of Receptor' section, Page 86	Correction to sensitivity wording following to account for Mendlesham Neighbourhood Plan.	<p>This viewpoint is located on the Mid Suffolk Footpath south-east of Mendlesham. Recreational receptors using the Mid Suffolk Footpath and community receptors living and moving around Mendlesham are of high susceptibility. Road users on Oak Farm Lane are of medium susceptibility (noting that people walking will be more susceptible). This viewpoint is not within a designated landscape or promoted view. This viewpoint is also representative of Viewpoint 1 identified within the Mendlesham</p>

Document Number	Document Name	Paragraph Section Reference	Nature of Erratum	Remedial Text, Reference or Narrative
				<p>Neighbourhood Plan. It is located on the Mid Suffolk long distance footpath, and therefore the view is of national / regional value. Overall sensitivity is judged to be high.</p> <p><i>The correction does not alter the conclusions reported in 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 – Landscape and Visual [APP-226]. The correction was committed to as part of the Applicants response to the Examining Authorities LV 1.6 [REP-074] at Deadline 3.</i></p>
AS-089	8.4.1.2 Addendum to Applicant's Comments on Relevant Representations - Part 2 (Final Issue A)	Section 2.4.5	Numbering of headings in Capitals incorrect.	<p>Our attention was drawn to this section's the headings in bold which were intended to align with the headings in the document submitted by North-West and South-West of Chelmsford Parishes Group [RR-2765]. However, unfortunately the numbering was corrupted in the final document. The headings should be as follows</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. THE IMPACT OF THE APPLICATION ON THE SENSITIVE LANDSCAPE AND HERITAGE ASSETS ALONG THE ROUTE. 2. INSUFFICIENT CONSIDERATION OF ALTERNATIVE METHODS OF ELECTRICITY TRANSMISSION, SUCH AS OFFSHORE AND UNDERGROUND HVDC SYSTEMS, INCLUDING THE LIFETIME COST BENEFITS OF THESE ALTERNATIVES 3. ALTERNATIVE ROUTING OF OVERHEAD LINES IN THE CHELMSFORD AREA. 4. DEFICIENCIES ARISING FROM NG'S 'DECIDE AND DEFEND' APPROACH TO DECISION-MAKING AND CONSULTATION. <p>However, the numbers assigned are not correct.</p> <p>The paragraph on page 650 that states "This is responded to in our response to item 3 below" is correct, but the 3 had been renumbered 1. in error. The title remained correct.</p>

Appendix A

Documents/Drawings Referenced in Errata as Missing or with Minor and Non-Material Corrections

Figure: 7.12.F109_VP4.11g (Rev B)

Figure: 7.12.F205_VP8.11c (Rev B)

Figure: 7.12.F247_HE37c (Rev B)

Figure 7.4.1 - Arboricultural Impacts Plan (Rev B)

Figure: 13.6 - Landscape Character Types and Landscape Character Areas (Rev B)

Figure 13.7 - Visual Receptors and Viewpoints (Rev C)

Appendix B


Updated list of Regional and Local Policy documents for Environmental Statement Chapter 13 – Landscape and Visual

- Greater Norwich Local Plan (Broadland District Council, South Norfolk Council Norwich City Council and Norfolk County Council, adopted 2024)
- South Norfolk Council Development Management Policies Document (South Norfolk Council, adopted 2015)
- Babergh and Mid Suffolk Joint Local Plan – Part 1 (Babergh District Council / Mid Suffolk District Council, November 2023)
- North Essex Authorities' Shared Strategic Section 1 Plan (adopted 2021) (Tendring, Colchester and Braintree)
- Tendring District Local Plan 2013-2033 and Beyond, Section 2 (Tendring District Council, adopted January 2022)
- Colchester City Local Plan 2017-2033 Section 2 (Colchester City Council, adopted July 2022)
- The Braintree District Local Plan 2013 – 2033 Section 2 (Braintree District Council, adopted July 2022)
- Chelmsford Local Plan, Our Planning Strategy 2013 to 2036 (Chelmsford City Council, adopted May 2020)
- Basildon District Local Plan Saved Policies (Basildon Council, September 2007, updated October 2018)
- Brentwood Local Plan 2016 – 2033 (Brentwood Borough Council, adopted March 2022)
- Thurrock Local Development Framework, Core Strategy and Policies for Management of Development (Thurrock Council, adopted January 2015).
- **Tasburgh Neighbourhood Plan (Tasburgh Parish Council, 2024)**
- **Diss and District Neighbourhood Plan (Diss Town Council, Roydon, Burston & Shimpling, and Scole, Palgrave, Stuston and Brome & Oakley Parish Councils, 2023)**
- **Stowupland Neighbourhood Plan (Stowupland Parish Council, 2019)**
- **Sproughton Neighbourhood Development Plan (Sproughton Parish Council, 2023)**
- **Marks Tey Neighbourhood Plan (Marks Tey Parish Council, 2022)**
- **Coggeshall Neighbourhood Plan (Coggeshall Parish Council, 2021)**
- **Broomfield Neighbourhood Plan (Broomfield Parish Council, 2025)**

- [Mulbarton Neighbourhood Plan \(Mulbarton Parish Council, 2016\)](#)
- [Mendlesham Neighbourhood Development Plan \(Mendlesham Parish Council, 2022\)](#)
- [Needham Market Neighbourhood Development Plan \(Needham Market Town Council, 2022\)](#)
- [Elmsett Neighbourhood Development Plan \(Elmsett Parish Council, 2019\)](#)
- [Copdock and Washbrook Neighbourhood Plan \(Copdock and Washbrook Parish Council, 2025\)](#)
- [Hadleigh Neighbourhood Development Plan \(Hadleigh Town Council, 2025\)](#)
- [East Bergholt Neighbourhood Development Plan \(East Bergholt Parish Council, 2016\)](#)
- [Elmstead Neighbourhood Plan \(Elmstead Parish Council, 2024\)](#)
- [West Bergholt Neighbourhood Plan \(West Bergholt Parish Council, 2019\)](#)
- [Great Tey Neighbourhood Plan \(Great Tey Parish Council, 2023\)](#)
- [Copford with Easthorpe Neighbourhood Plan \(Copford with Easthorpe Parish Council, 2023\)](#)
- [Kelvedon Neighbourhood Plan \(Kelvedon Parish Council, 2022\)](#)
- [Writtle Neighbourhood Development Plan \(Writtle Parish Council, 2021\)](#)
- [Chantry Park Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Plan \(Ipswich Borough Council, n.d\)](#)
- [Broomfield Conservation Area Character Appraisal \(Chelmsford City Council, 2009\)](#)
- [Mellis Conservation Area Appraisal \(Mid Suffolk District, 2008\)](#)
- [Badley Church Green Conservation Area Appraisal \(Mid Suffolk District, 2012\)](#)
- [Ardleigh Conservation Area Appraisal \(Tendring District Council, 2006\)](#)
- [National Heritage List for England: Chantry Park \(Historic England, n.d.\)](#)
- [National Heritage List for England: Thorndon Hall \(Historic England, n.d.\)](#)
- [National Heritage List for England: Hylands Park, Writtle \(Historic England, n.d.\)](#)
- [National Heritage List for England: Langleys, Great Waltham \(Historic England, n.d.\)](#)

Image A14.4.1

Operational Noise Baseline Information (Rev B)



National Grid plc
National Grid House,
Warwick Technology Park,
Gallows Hill, Warwick.
CV34 6DA United Kingdom

Registered in England and Wales
No. 4031152
nationalgrid.com